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Safety/Security

1. Specify a system model
2. Specify a threats model
3. Specify associated assumptions
4. Assert a consequent property (as a compromise of the models and/or assumptions)

Assumptions for Validity:
- That our models are accurate and complete
- That our assumptions are accurate and complete
- That the environment and attackers behave as modeled!
- That the assertions are accurate and complete!

Expectations: Correct, Reproducible, Verifiable, …
Models: Approximations of Reality

- System Model (Computing/Communication)
  - Structured relationships across the elements
  - Delineated control and data flows
- Threat Model (over a characterized attack surface)
  - Specific scenarios: type, #, duration, collusion ...
  - Worst case (UU) scenarios modeled via weak assumptions

**Needs:** Accuracy, Completeness

**Approach:** Refine & validate model post-deployment

**Expectancy:** Deliver outcomes that are predictable, reproducible & can be validated
AV Models

Context: Multi-AV + Full Autonomy Scenarios
AI based PCD (Perception, Cognition, Decisions) Chain

- System Model
  1. Computing and Communications Model (underpins PCD ops)
     - VVV/Unstructured: Dynamic/variable SoS relationships
  2. AI/ML Model (underpins CD ops)
     - Dynamic/variable SoS data streams
  3. Socio-technical Users/Usage Model
     - Users as active elements: behavior/response/ethics/liability models
     - Environment model (urban, terrestrial, aerial...)

- Threat Model (Variable attack surfaces)
  - TM for computing infrastructure
  - TM for AI/ML infrastructure
  - Complex inter-linked System/ML TM’s over SoS AV Environment
    - Limited separation of concerns across system/ML control & data flows
    - Infinite cases over unanticipated socio-technical scenarios
    - Worst cases approach of weak assumptions work in a limited manner
Issues: AV Specification and Models

- CD critically depends on accuracy & completeness of models
  - CD (Cognition and Decision) functionality developed on (incomplete) pre-deployment training models… limited validation
  - Reality: Form, adapt and solve SoS AS models at run time – validation?
  - AI/ML is accuracy and performance driven → discards rare/outlier cases. Rare cases are THE AV cases to worry about. Run-time determination?

- Safety-critical CD decisions based (a) on run-time models, (b) on dynamic & incomplete data-streams, (c) using non-deterministic AI/ML technology to deliver deterministic safety/security outcomes in unstructured AV space?

Security-by-design without a complete, accurate, stable model? Engg solutions are nice but …